
10 k -Means Clustering

Probably the most famous clustering formulation is k -means. This is the focus today. Note: k -means is not an algorithm, it is a problem formulation.

k -Means is in the family of *assignment based clustering*. Each cluster is represented by a single point, to which all other points in the cluster are “assigned.” Consider a set X , and distance $\mathbf{d} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, and the output is a set $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$. This implicitly defines a set of clusters where $\phi_C(x) = \arg \min_{c \in C} \mathbf{d}(x, c)$. Then the *k -means clustering problem* is to find the set C of k clusters (often, but not always as a subset of X) to

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{x \in X} \mathbf{d}(\phi_C(x), x)^2.$$

So we want every point assigned to the closest center, and want to minimize the sum of the squared distance of all such assignments.

Recall, there are other variants:

- the *k -center clustering problem*: minimize $\max_{x \in X} \mathbf{d}(\phi_C(x), x)$
This was covered in **L9.4**
- the *k -median clustering problem*: minimize $\sum_{x \in X} \mathbf{d}(\phi_C(x), x)$

10.1 Lloyd’s Algorithm

When people think of k -means, they usually think of the following algorithm. It is usually attributed to Lloyd from a document in 1957, although it was not published until 1982 [9].

Algorithm 10.1.1 Lloyd’s Algorithm for k -Means Clustering

Choose k points $C \subset X$ [...arbitrarily?]
repeat
 For all $x \in X$, find $\phi_C(x)$ (closest center $c \in C$ to x)
 For all $i \in [k]$ let $c_i = \text{average}\{x \in X \mid \phi_C(x) = c_i\}$
until The set C is unchanged

If the main loop has R rounds, then this take roughly Rnk steps (and can be made closer to $Rn \log k$ with faster nearest neighbor search in some cases).

But what is R ?

- It is finite. The cost ($\sum_{x \in X} (\mathbf{d}(x, \phi_C(x))^2)$) is always decreasing, and there are a finite (precisely, $\binom{n}{k} = O(n^k)$) number of possible distinct cluster centers. But it could be exponential in k and d (the dimension when Euclidean distance used).
- However, usually $R = 10$ is fine.
- Smoothed analysis: if data perturbed randomly slightly, then $R = O(n^{35} k^{34} d^8)$ [2]. This is “polynomial,” but still ridiculous.
- If all points are on a grid of length M , then $R = O(dn^4 M^2)$. But that’s still way too big.

Lesson: there are crazy special cases that can take a long time, but usually it works. Recall:

When data is easily cluster-able, most clustering algorithms work quickly and well.

When is not easily cluster-able, then no algorithm will find good clusters.

Sometimes there is a good k -means clustering, but it is not found by Lloyd's algorithm. Then we can choose new centers again (with randomness), and try again.

How do we initialize C ? The goal is to get one point from each final cluster. Then it will converge quickly.

- Random set of k points. By coupon collectors, we know that we need about $k \log k$ to get one in each cluster.
- Randomly partition $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k\}$ and take $c_i = \text{average}(X_i)$. This biases towards "center" of the full set X (by Chernoff-Hoeffding).
- Gonzalez algorithm [6] (for k -center). This may bias too much to outlier points.

Algorithm by Arthur and Vassilvitskii [3] called k -means++.

Algorithm 10.1.2 k -Means++ Algorithm

Choose $c_1 \in X$ arbitrarily. Let $C_1 = \{c_1\}$.

(In general let $C_i = \{c_1, \dots, c_i\}$.)

for $i = 2$ to k **do**

 Choose c_i from X with probability proportional to $\mathbf{d}(x, \phi_{C_{i-1}}(x))^2$.

As Algorithm 10.1.2 describes, the algorithm is like Gonzalez algorithm, but is not completely greedy.

How accurate is Lloyd's algorithm for k -means? It can be arbitrarily bad.

Theory algorithm: Gets $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation for k -means in $2^{(k/\varepsilon)^{O(1)}} nd$ time [8].

But k -means++ is $O(\log n)$ -approximate (or 8-approximate if data is well-spaced) [3]. Can then be refined with k -means, if desired.

10.2 Problems with k -Means

- The key step that makes Lloyd's algorithm so cool is $\text{average}\{x \in X\} = \arg \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{x \in X} \|c - x\|^2$. But this only works with $\mathbf{d}(x, c) = \|x - c\|_2$.

As an alternative, can enforce that $C \subset X$. Then choose each c_i from $\{x \in X \mid \phi_C(x) = c_i\}$ that minimizes distance. But slower.

- Is effected by outliers more than k -median clustering. Can adapt Lloyd's algorithm, but then step two (recentering) is harder: Called "Fermat-Weber problem,"[10, 5] and can be approximated with gradient descent.
- Enforces equal-sized clusters. Based on distance to cluster centers, not density.

One adaptation that perhaps has better modeling is the EM formulation: Expectation-Maximization. It models each cluster as a Gaussian distribution G_i centered at c_i .

- For each point $x \in X$, find cluster c_i with largest probability of containing that point.
- For each cluster, find best fit Gaussian G_i with $c_i = \text{average}\{x \in X \mid \phi_C(x) = c_i\}$, but estimated variance from data.

This can also allow for non-uniform Gaussians, but first taking PCA of data in cluster, and then estimating variance along each PCA axis. Can be made more robust with regularization.

10.3 Speeding-Up k -Means

- First run Lloyds (or k -means++) on random sample of points (of size $n' \ll n$). Then given good estimate of centers, run on full set (will hopefully be close to converged).
- Run a one-pass algorithm (streaming, covered later) getting $O(k \log k)$ clusters. Reduce to k clusters at end, but merging extra clusters [1].

Can use another streaming trick where there are a hierarchy of clusters of recent subsets representing geometrically increasing size [7].

- A recent algorithm combines these ideas to make k -means++ somewhat scalable with some added approximation error [4].

Bibliography

- [1] Nir Ailon, Ragesh Jaiswal, and Claire Monteleoni. Streaming k -means approximation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2009.
- [2] David Arthur, Bodo Manthey, and Heiko Röglin. Smoothed analysis of the k -means method. *Journal of ACM*, 58(5):19, 2011.
- [3] David Arthur and Sergei Vassilvitskii. k -means++: the advantages of careful seeding. In *Proceedings of the eighteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*, pages 1027–1035, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2007. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [4] Bahman Bahmani, Benjamin Moseley, Andrea Vattani, Ravi Kumar, and Sergei Vassilvitskii. Scalable k -means++. *VLDB Journal*, 2012.
- [5] Prosenjit Bose, Anil Maheshwari, and Pat Morin. Fast approximations for sums of distances, clustering and the fermat–weber problem. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 24(3):135–146, 2003.
- [6] Teofilo F. Gonzalez. Clustering to minimize the maximum intercluster distance. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 38:293–306, 1985.
- [7] Sudipto Guha, Adam Meyerson, Nina Mishra, Rajeev Motwani, and Liadan O’Callaghan. Clustering data streams: Theory and practice. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2003.
- [8] Amit Kumar, Yogish Sabharwal, and Sandeep Sen. A simple linear time $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for k -means clustering in any dimension. In *Proceedings 45th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 2004.
- [9] Stuart P. Lloyd. Least squares quantization in PCM. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28:129–137, 1982.
- [10] Yehuda Vardi and Cun-Hui Zhang. A modified Weiszfeld algorithm for the Fermat-Weber location problem. *Mathematical Programming*, 90(3):559–566, 2001.